[Submitting Listed on Signature Page] UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT PLAINTIFFS' REPORT ON THIRD LITIGATION **PARTY SUBPOENAS** Hon. Lisa J. Cisneros Judge: This Document Relates to: Courtroom: G - 15th Floor ALL ACTIONS

In accordance with the Court's Order Regarding Joint Administrative Motion for an Order Regarding the Parties' Submission of Discovery Disputes [Dkt. 562], Plaintiffs hereby make this Report concerning third party discovery. This Report (a) lists the objecting nonparties that have been served with subpoenas in which compliance is required outside of the Northern District of California, (b) specifies whether the contested subpoena is for a pretrial deposition and/or documents or other tangible things, and (c) indicates whether the nonparties consent to having the dispute transferred to the Northern District of California for adjudication or appeal. While not requested by the Court, this Report also identifies pending motions to transfer and compel.

To date, Plaintiffs have been able to serve all but three subpoenas. Plaintiffs offered extensions to May 31, 2024 to all subpoenaed entities that contacted Plaintiffs to request an extension; however, not all subpoenaed entities responded to Plaintiffs. On May 10, two days after the original date for compliance, Plaintiffs sent letters to each of the subpoenaed entities that failed to respond indicating that Plaintiffs planned to file motions to compel in the event the entities failed to respond by May 31. Several of these subpoenaed entities contacted Plaintiffs to request an extension and/or file objections, but Nexar, Inc. and the Rape Abuse and Incest National Network (RAINN) failed to do so. Plaintiffs filed motions to transfer and compel as to both entities. After filing, RAINN electronically served objections on Plaintiffs.

The following chart lists the district where compliance is required for each objecting entity outside of the Northern District of California, whether it has served objections, and whether consents to transfer of any disputes to the Northern District of California. If a subpoenaed entity did not respond

¹ Plaintiffs have not been able to serve Chambers Conlon & Hartwell (compliance location: Washington, DC), RALIANCE (compliance location: Washington, DC), Tai Ginsberg & Associates (compliance location: Washington, DC).

² The motion concerning Nexar, Inc. was filed in S.D.N.Y. and the motion concerning RAINN was filed in D.D.C.

to Plaintiffs' inquiry regarding consent to transfer, Plaintiffs have indicated "Unknown and/or Undecided."

Objecting Entity or Person	District Where	Consent to Jurisdiction of
	Compliance is Required	N.D. Cal.
Ballard Partners	D.D.C.	Yes
Brownstein Hyatt Farber Scheck LLP	D.D.C.	Yes
Cambridge Mobile Telematics	D.Mass.	No
Capitol Tax Partners	D.D.C.	No
Chambers Conlon & Hartwell	D.D.C.	Unknown and/or Undecided
Corrie Yakulic ³	D.D.C.	Yes
Doerrer Group	D.D.C.	No
Federal Hill Group	D.D.C.	No
Franklin Square Group	D.D.C.	Unknown and/or Undecided
Hirease	C.D.Cal.	Unknown and/or Undecided
HireRight LLC	M.D.Tenn.	Unknown and/or Undecided
Mayer Brown LLP	D.D.C.	Yes
National Sexual Violence Resource	M.D.Pa.	Unknown and/or Undecided
Center (NSVRC)		
Nexar, Inc.	S.D.N.Y.	Motion to Transfer and
		Compel filed 05/31/2024
Rape Abuse and Incest National	D.D.C.	Motion to Transfer and
Network (RAINN)		Compel filed 05/31/2024
Rapid SOS	S.D.N.Y.	Unknown and/or Undecided
Summit Strategies and Government	D.D.C.	No
Affairs		
The Chertoff Group	D.D.C.	Yes
Tremont Strategies Group	D.Mass.	Unknown and/or Undecided
Ulman Public Policy & Federal	D.D.C.	Unknown and/or Undecided
Relations LLC		
Urban Institute	D.D.C.	No

The following chart lists the entities from whom objections have not been received and the district where compliance is required.

Subpoenaed Entity or Person	District Where Compliance is Required
Chambers Conlon & Hartwell	D.D.C.
Invariant	D.D.C.
RALIANCE	D.D.C.
Tai Ginsberg & Associates	D.D.C.

³ Plaintiff attorney Corrie Yakulic has not filed objections but has not provided responses. Instead, Ms. Yakulic is waiting for court authorization to comply with the subpoena before providing documents.

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 599 Filed 06/07/24 Page 4 of 5

The following chart lists the entities located in the Northern District of California and whether they will be included in the first set of briefing, as agreed upon by the Parties.

Subpoenaed Entity or Person	Selected for First Round of Briefing
7x7 Experience	Yes
Checkr, Inc.	Yes
Lyft	Yes
Nauto, Inc.	No
Sara Peters	Yes

At this time, no entity has filed a Motion to Quash, but in accordance with the Court's Order, Plaintiffs will file Notices on the docket to the extent that changes.

1	Dated: June 7, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		By: /s/ Marlene J. Goldenberg
3		Marlene J. Goldenberg Samantha V. Hoefs
4		Nigh Goldenberg Raso & Vaughn PLLC
5		14 Ridge Square NW Third Floor
		Washington, D.C. 20016 Telephone: (202) 978-2228
6		Facsimile: (202) 792-7927
7		By: /s/ Sarah R. London Sarah R. London (SBN 267083)
8		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
9		275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
10		San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000
11		Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 slondon@lchb.com
12		By: /s/ Rachel B. Abrams
13		Rachel B. Abrams (SBN 209316) PEIFFER WOLF CARR KANE
14		CONWAY & WISE, LLP 555 Montgomery Street, Suite 820
15		San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 426-5641
16		Facsimile: (415) 840-9435 rabrams@peifferwolf.com
17		
18		By: /s/ Roopal P. Luhana Roopal P. Luhana
19		CHAFFIN LUHANA LLP 600 Third Avenue, 12th Floor
20		New York, NY 10016 Telephone: (888) 480-1123
		Facsimile: (888) 499-1123 luhana@chaffinluhana.com
21		Counsel for Plaintiffs
22		Counsel for 1 laintiffs
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		5